



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,393	-	08/23/2001	William Kress Bodin	AUS920010655US1	9825
45993	7590	03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
		ON (RHF)	SMITH, T	SMITH, TRACI L	
C/O ROBE	RT H. FR.	ANTZ			
P. O. BOX 23324				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OKLAHO	MA CITY,	OK 73123	3629		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/935,393	BODIN, WILLIAM KRESS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Traci L. Smith	3629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice (3) Information	et(s) see of References Cited (PTO-892) see of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/935,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to papers filed on December 19, 2005.

Claims 1, 11 and 21 have been amended.

Claims 1-30 are pending.

Claims 1-30 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5,10-13,15, 20-23, 25 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,459,306 Stein et al; Method and System for Delivering on Demand, Individually targeted promotions.
- 3. As to claims 1, 11 and 21 Stein teaches identifying the consumer and matching products to the products consumer identified and indicating which products are associated.(C. 2 I. 65-67 and C. 2 I. 25-27). and displaying information at a kiosk in the store(C7 I. 64-65 and C. 8 I. 21-23).
- 4. As to claims 2, 12 and 22 Stein teaches a unique user code(C. 2 I. 66-67).
- 5. As to claims 3, 13 and 23 Stein teaches a database storing the information(C. 4 I. 4-12).
- 6. As to claims 5, 15 and 25 Stein teaches identifying which products that match with promotional text.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

7. As to claims 10, 20 and 30 Stein teaches identifying if the products are in stock(C. 4 I. 57-59)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 4, 6-9, 14, 16-19 24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,459,306 Stein et al as applied to claim s 1-3,10-12, 20-22 and 30 above, and further in view of www.alerts.com retrieved from wayback machine anylinkage February 29, 2000. Hereinafter referred to as alerts.
- 10. As to claims 4, 14 and 24 Stein teaches matching products based on user preferences but fails to identify the specific preferences. Alerts teaches preferences being a preferred stock price. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of alerts with Stein so as to make the method versatile for all aspects of e-commerce and all user preferences.
- 11. As to claims 6-9, 16-19 and 26-29 Stein teaches notifying the user of the matching products however, Stein fails to teach how the user is notified. Alerts teaches notifying the user via email to several different devices. It would have been obvious to one skilled the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Alerts with Stein

Application/Control Number: 09/935,393

Art Unit: 3629

so as to allow the user to receive their notifications in what ever manner that is most convenient for the user.

Response to Arguments

Page 4

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., display unit physically near or mounted on display) are not recited in the previously rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 13. In response to applicants arguments regarding rejections under 35 USC 101 examiner notes rejection has been withdrawn in view of ex parte Lundgren.

Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/935,393

Art Unit: 3629

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLS

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

jul)

Page 5